



**JAWAHARLAL NEHRU UNIVERSITY
NEW DELHI-110067**

ADMISSION BRANCH-I

4.1.2017

As per the directives received from the UGC as have been approved by the Academic Council of University at its meeting held on 7th October 2016 and its adjourned meeting held on 20th October, 2016, following procedure shall have to be followed by the University for admission to the M.Phil. and P programme in different Schools/Centres :

Section 5 of Gazette of India : Extraordinary (Part-III - Sec. 4) published on 5 May 2016

"Procedure for admission :

- 5.1 All Universities and Institutions Deemed to be Universities shall admit M.Phil/PhD students through an Entrance Test conducted at the level of Individual University/Institution Deemed to be a University. The University/Institution Deemed to be a University may decide separate terms and conditions for PhD Entrance Test for those students who qualify UGC-NET (including JRF/UGC-CSIR NET (including JRF/SLET/GATE/teacher fellowship holder) or have passed M.Phil programme. Similar approach may be adopted in respect of Entrance Test for M.Phil programme.
- 5.4 HEIs as mentioned in Clause 1.2 shall admit candidates by a two stage process through :
 - 5.4.1 An Entrance Test shall be qualifying with qualifying marks as 50%. The syllabus of the Entrance Test shall consist of 50% of research methodology and 50% shall be subject specific. The Entrance Test shall be conducted at the Centre(s) notified in advance (changes of Centers, if any, also to be notified well in advance) at the level of the individual HEI as mentioned in clause 1.2; and
 - 5.4.2 An interview/viva voce to be organized by the HEI as mentioned in clause 1.2 when the candidates are required to discuss their research interest/area through a presentation before a duly constituted Department Research Committee.

As regards its implementation part, the following may be considered :

1. As per the existing admission policy, admission to PhD programme is made twice a year i.e. for Monsoon Semester and Winter Semester applications for which are called for in the month of December-January and in Sept.-October respectively.
2. The admission procedure (for all including foreign nationals) for admission to PhD programme for Monsoon Semester has been preponed from the month of March-April to December-January so as to enable the candidates to have sufficient time for completing their admission-related formalities i.e. visa/passport, study leave/relieving order etc.
3. Presently, the candidates are admitted to the said programme of study on the basis of their performance in the viva voce only conducted at each school/centre level. Since implementation of the UGC regulations -2016 requires conduct of the Entrance Test for the M.Phil/Ph.D, Pre-Ph.D/Ph.D programme under JRF category and Ph.D programme both for those who are possessing M.Phil degree or having Masters with atleast two years research experience etc., necessary modifications in the prospectus, admission announcements/advertisements are required to be carried out.

In view of the above, it for consideration whether we may request all Schools/Centres to suggest necessary modifications to be carried out in the e-Prospectus relating to their respective School/Centre on priority basis. It is also proposed that to avoid further delay, Director (CIS) may be requested to upload the e-prospectus as developed by AR(Admissions) on Intranet Channel for three days ^{working (6 days)} for necessary modification if any so that e-prospectus may go line on or before 15th of January, 2017

*13/Admis
5/1/17*



SO (Ad-I)

SO (ADMISSION-I) *[Signature]* 5/1/17

[Signature]

Amendments in M.Phil. Ordinance No. 14

Existing JNU Ordinance (Clauses)	UGC Regulation 2016 (Clauses)	Proposed JNU Ordinance (Clauses)
<p>2. Eligibility for Admission to the Course : A candidate shall be eligible for admission to the course leading to the Degree of Master of Philosophy (M.Phil.) hereinafter called the course, if he/she had taken the Master's degree of the University or a degree recognized by the University for this purpose as its equivalent thereto: Provided, however, that the cases of students who have passed examination outside the Indian Universities system and who may not have obtained a Master's degree may be considered for admission to the course subject to the condition that each case shall be examined on its own merit by the Admission Committee and in accordance with the recommendations of the Equivalence Committee</p>	<p>2.1 Candidates for admission to the M.Phil. programme shall have a Master's degree or a professional degree declared equivalent to the Master's degree by the corresponding statutory regulatory body, with at least 55% marks in aggregate or its equivalent grade 'B' in the UGC 7-point scale (or an equivalent grade in a point scale wherever grading system is followed) or an equivalent degree from a foreign educational Institution accredited by an Assessment and Accreditation Agency which is approved, recognized or authorized by an authority, established or incorporated under a law in its home country or any other statutory authority in that country for the purpose of assessing, accrediting or assuring quality and standards of educational institutions.</p> <p>2.2 A relaxation of 5% of marks, from 55% to 50%, or an equivalent relaxation of grade, may be allowed for those belonging to SC/ST/OBC(non-creamy layer)/Differently-abled and other categories of candidates as per the decision of the Commission from time to time, or for those who had obtained their Master's degree prior to 19th September, 1991. The eligibility marks of 55% (or an equivalent grade in a point scale wherever grading system is followed) and the relaxation of 5% to the categories mentioned above are permissible based only on the qualifying marks without including the grace mark procedures.</p>	<p>2. Eligibility for Admission to the Course : A candidate shall be eligible for admission to the course leading to the Degree of Master of Philosophy (M.Phil.) hereinafter called the course, if he/she had taken the Master's degree with at least 55% marks in aggregate or its equivalent grade 'B' in the UGC 7-point scale of the University or a degree recognized by the University for this purpose as its equivalent thereto: Provided, however, that the cases of students who have passed examination outside the Indian Universities system and who may not have obtained a Master's degree may be considered for admission to the course subject to the condition, that each case shall be examined on its own merit by the Admission Committee and in accordance with the recommendations of the Equivalence Committee.</p> <p>Provided further that a relaxation of 5% of marks, from 55% to 50%, or an equivalent relaxation of grade, may be allowed for those belonging to SC/ST/OBC (non-creamy layer)/Differently-abled category, or for those who had obtained their Master's degree prior to 19th September, 1991. The relaxation of 5% is based only on the qualifying marks without including the grace mark procedures.</p>

<p>7. Duration of the programme. The M.Phil. course work shall be spread over two semesters in such a way that atleast 50% of the total course work shall be completed in the first semester. The entire M.Phil. programme including M.Phil dissertation shall be completed within four consecutive semesters. No registration shall be permissible beyond the period of four semesters from the date of admission to the programme. Provided that a semester or a year may be declared zero semester or zero year in the case of a student if he/she could not continue with the academic programme during that period due to illness and hospitalization or due to accepting a foreign scholarship/fellowship subject to the fulfillment of requirements as laid down by the regulations. Such zero semester/year shall not be counted for calculation of the duration of the programme in case of such a student. #Provided further that in case of a foreign student who is compelled to leave the programme in between for getting their student visa/research visa extended such period shall not be counted for the purpose of calculation of duration of the programme.</p>	<p>4.4 The women candidates and Persons with Disability (more than 40% disability) may be allowed a relaxation of one year for M.Phil and two years for Ph.D. in the maximum duration. In addition, the women candidates may be provided Maternity Leave/Child Care Leave once in the entire duration of M.Phil/Ph.D. for up to 240 days. for up to 240 days.</p>	<p>7.Duration of the programme. "The M.Phil, course work shall be..... duration of the programme." At the end of Clause 7 of the M.Phil. Ordinance. Add: (Approved by the AC in its meeting held on 07.10.2016 and its adjourned meeting held on 20.10.2016 and EC in its 266TH meeting held on 22/11/2016) "Provided that women students and persons with disability (more than 40 per cent disability) may be allowed relaxation of two semesters in the maximum duration of the course after completion of the course work. In addition, the women students would be eligible for maternity leave/child care leave once for up to 240 days in the entire duration of the course."</p>
<p>11. Evaluation: 11.2 The dissertation shall be examined by two examiners at least one of whom shall not be a member of the staff of the University/ Committee of the Centre/Board of the School concerned. Such an examiner shall be appointed by the Executive Council of the University on the recommendations of the Academic Council, the Board and the Committee for Advanced Studies and Research of the School concerned. Provided further that in case an examiner to whom the dissertation has been sent for evaluation fails to forward the report to the University within</p>	<p>6.1 Any regular Professor of the University/Institution Deemed to be a University/College with at least five research publications in refereed journals and any regular Associate/Assistant Professor of the university/institution deemed to be a university/college with a Ph.D. degree and at least two research publications in refereed journals may be recognized as Research Supervisor. Provided that in areas/disciplines where there is no or only a limited number of refereed journals, the Institution may relax the above condition for recognition of a person as Research Supervisor with</p>	<p>11. Evaluation: 11.2 The dissertation shall be examined by two examiners at least one of whomshall not be awarded the degree of M.Phil. 11.2.1 Only a full time regular Professor of the University with at least five research publications in refereed journals and a regular Associate/Assistant Professor of the university with a Ph.D. degree and at least two research publications in refereed journals may be recognized as Research Supervisor.</p>

<p>months from the date of the submission of the dissertation, the Board of Examiners may appoint another member from the panel of examiners approved by the Board of Examiners to evaluate the dissertation.</p> <p>That in case of a difference of opinion between the examiners with regard to the evaluation of dissertation, the Board of Examiners of the School shall have placed before the Board of Examiners for Advanced Research (CASR) of the School, the evaluation report of both the examiners for consideration.</p> <p>Further that after due consideration of the reports, the Board of Examiners may recommend the appointment of a third examiner to be selected with the approval of the Board of Examiners in the manner as laid down in clause 11.2 above for the evaluation of the dissertation.</p> <p>Further that if the recommendation of the third examiner is in the negative the Board of Examiners shall not be bound to award the degree of M.Phil.</p>	<p>reasons recorded in writing.</p> <p>6.2 Only a full time regular teacher of the concerned University/Institution Deemed to be a University/College can act as a supervisor. The external supervisors are not allowed. However, CoSupervisor can be allowed in inter-disciplinary areas from other departments of the same institute or from other related institutions with the approval of the Research Advisory Committee.</p> <p>6.4 In case of topics which are of inter-disciplinary nature where the Department concerned feels that the expertise in the Department has to be supplemented from outside, the Department may appoint a Research Supervisor from the Department itself, who shall be known as the Research Supervisor, and a Co-Supervisor from outside the Department/ Faculty/College/Institution on such terms and conditions as may be specified and agreed upon by the consenting Institutions/Colleges.</p> <p>6.6 In case of relocation of an M.Phil/Ph.D. woman scholar due to marriage or otherwise, the research data shall be allowed to be transferred to the University to which the scholar intends to relocate provided all the other conditions in these regulations are followed in letter and spirit and the research work does not pertain to the project secured by the parent institution/supervisor from any funding agency. The scholar will however give due credit to the parent guide and the institution for the part of research already done.</p>	<p>Provided that in areas/disciplines where there is no or only a limited number of refereed journals, the Institution may relax the above condition for recognition of a person as Research Supervisor with reasons recorded in writing.</p> <p>Provided, however, that Co-Supervisor/Joint Supervisor can be allowed in inter-disciplinary areas from other departments of the same institute or from other related institutions with the approval of the Research Advisory Committee.</p> <p>15. In case of relocation of an M.Phil. woman scholar due to marriage or otherwise, the research data shall be allowed to be transferred to the University to which the scholar intends to relocate provided all the other conditions in the Ordinance are followed in letter and spirit and the research work does not pertain to the project secured by the University/supervisor from any funding agency. The scholar will however give due credit to the parent guide and the University for the part of research already done.</p>
<p>6.*Courses of Study: The Department/Centre shall prescribe the course(s) and specify the methodology and instructional devices to be used. Every such course shall carry such credits as may be approved by the Board of Examiners on the basis of the recommendations</p>	<p>7.2 The course work shall be treated as prerequisite for M.Phil./Ph.D. preparation. A minimum of four credits shall be assigned to one or more courses on Research Methodology which could cover areas such as</p>	<p>6.*Courses of Study: The Department/Centre shall prescribe the course(s) and specify the methodology and instructional devices to be used. Every such course shall carry such credits as may be approved by the Board of the School on the</p>

of Centre/Department concerned. In any course where an end semester examination is prescribed, a student clears the course only if he/she has participated in the sessional work and appeared in the end semester examination and secured a **weighted grade higher than 'F'**. In no case shall a student be allowed to repeat a course either in the event of failure or for improving the performance.

Provided,.....

8. Removal of Name of a Student from the Rolls of the University.

8.1 The name of a student who fails in any course shall automatically stand removed from the rolls of the University if he/she:

- a) Fails in any course
- b) Fails to secure a CGPA of 5.00 on completion of course work at the end of 2nd semester of the programme.

8.2 The Committee for Advanced Studies and Research/Special Committee of the School/Special Centre may remove the name of a student from the rolls of the University for unsatisfactory progress at any time during the duration of the programme

quantitative methods, computer applications, research ethics and review of published research in the relevant field, training, field work, etc. Other courses shall be advanced level courses preparing the students for M.Phil./Ph.D. degree.

7.3 All courses prescribed for M.Phil. and Ph.D. course work shall be in conformity with the credit hour instructional requirement and shall specify content, instructional and assessment methods. They shall be duly approved by the authorized academic bodies.

7.4 The Department where the scholar pursues his/her research shall prescribe the course(s) to him/her based on the recommendations of the Research Advisory Committee, as stipulated under sub-Clause 8.1 below, of the research scholar.

7.7 Grades in the course work, including research methodology courses shall be finalized after a combined assessment by the Research Advisory Committee and the Department and the final grades shall be communicated to the Institution/College.

7.8 A M.Phil./Ph.D. scholar has to obtain a minimum of 55% of marks or its equivalent grade in the UGC 7-point scale (or an equivalent grade/CGPA in a point scale wherever grading system is followed) in the course work in order to be eligible to continue in the programme and submit the dissertation/thesis.

recommendations of Centre/Department concerned.

Provided that a minimum of 04 credits shall be assigned to one or more courses on Research Methodology which could cover areas such as quantitative methods, computer applications, research ethics and review of published research in the relevant field, training, field work, etc.

Provided that the Department shall prescribe the course(s) to him/her based on the recommendations of the Research Advisory Committee.

In any course where an end semester examination is prescribed, a student clears the course only if he/she has participated in the sessional work and appeared in the end semester examination and secured a **minimum of 55% of marks (50% for SC/ST/OBC (non-creamy layer)/Differently-abled category) or its equivalent grade in the UGC 7-point scale (or an equivalent grade/CGPA in a point scale wherever grading system is followed) in the course work in order to be eligible to continue in the programme and submit the dissertation.**

Provided further that Grades in the course work, including research methodology courses shall be finalized after a combined assessment by the Research Advisory Committee and the Department and the final grades shall be communicated to the concerned Department.

In no case shall a student be allowed to repeat a course either in the event of failure or for improving the performance.

“Provided,.....”

8. Removal of Name of a Student from the Rolls of the University.

8.1 The name of a student who fails in any course shall

		<p>automatically stand removed from the rolls of the University if he/she:</p> <p>a) Fails in any course</p> <p>b) Fails to secure a CGPA of 5.00 (CGPA of 4.5 in the case of SC/ST/ OBC(non-creamy layer)/differently-abled).on completion of course work at the end of 2nd semester of the programme</p> <p>8.2 The Committee for Advanced Studies and</p>
	<p>8.1 There shall be a Research Advisory Committee, or an equivalent body for similar purpose as defined in the Statutes/Ordinances of the Institution concerned, for each M.Phil. and Ph.D. scholar. The Research Supervisor of the scholar shall be the Convener of this Committee. This Committee shall have the following responsibilities:</p> <p>8.1.1 To review the research proposal and finalize the topic of research;</p> <p>8.1.2 To guide the research scholar to develop the study design and methodology of research and identify the course(s) that he/she may have to do.</p> <p>8.1.3 To periodically review and assist in the progress of the research work of the research scholar.</p> <p>8.2 A research scholar shall appear before the Research Advisory Committee once in six months to make a presentation of the progress of his/her work for evaluation and further guidance. The six monthly progress reports shall be submitted by the Research Advisory Committee to the Institution/College with a copy to the research scholar.</p> <p>8.3 In case the progress of the research scholar is unsatisfactory, the Research Advisory Committee shall record the reasons for the same and suggest corrective</p>	<p>Clause 15 Research Advisory Committee</p> <p>15.1 There shall be a Research Advisory Committee for each M.Phil.scholar. The Research Supervisor of the scholar shall be the Convener of this Committee. This Committee shall have the following responsibilities:</p> <p>15.1.1 To review the research proposal and finalize the topic of research;</p> <p>15.1.2 To guide the research scholar to develop the study design and methodology of research and identify the course(s) that he/she may have to do.</p> <p>15.1.3 To periodically review and assist in the progress of the research work of the research scholar.</p> <p>15.2 A research scholar shall appear before the Research Advisory Committee once in six months to make a presentation of the progress of his/her work for evaluation and further guidance. The six monthly progress reports shall be submitted by the Research Advisory Committee to the University with a copy to the research scholar.</p> <p>15.4 In case the progress of the research scholar is unsatisfactory, the</p>

	<p>measures. If the research scholar fails to implement these corrective measures, the Research Advisory Committee may recommend to the Institution/College with specific reasons for cancellation of the registration of the research scholar.</p>	<p>Research Advisory Committee shall record the reasons for the same and suggest corrective measures. If the research scholar fails to implement these corrective measures, the Research Advisory Committee may recommend to the University with specific reasons for cancellation of the registration of the research scholar.</p>
<p>10 Topic of Dissertation: The topic of dissertation shall be approved by the Centre/Department on a proposal submitted by the student through his/her Adviser.</p> <p>11.2 The dissertation shall be examined by two examiners at least one of whom shall not be a member of the staff of the University/ Committee of the Centre/Board of the School concerned. Such an examiner shall be appointed by the Executive Council of the University on the recommendations of the Academic Council, the Board and the Committee for Advanced Studies and Research of the School concerned.</p> <p>Provided further that in case an examiner to whom the dissertation has been sent for evaluation fails to forward the report to the University within four months from the date of dispatch of the dissertation, the University may appoint another examiner from the panel of examiners approved by the Vice-Chancellor to evaluate the dissertation.</p> <p>Provided that in case of difference of opinion between the two examiners with regard to the evaluation of dissertation, the Dean of the School shall arrange to have</p>	<p>9.2 Upon satisfactory completion of course work, and obtaining the marks/grade prescribed in sub-clauses 7.8 above, as the case may be, the M.Phil/Ph.D. scholar shall be required to undertake research work and produce a draft dissertation/thesis within a reasonable time, as stipulated by the Institution concerned based on these Regulations.</p> <p>9.3 Prior to the submission of the dissertation/thesis, the scholar shall make a presentation in the Department before the Research Advisory Committee of the Institution concerned which shall also be open to all faculty members and other research scholars. The feedback and comments obtained from them may be suitably incorporated into the draft dissertation/thesis in consultation with the Research Advisory Committee.</p> <p>9.4 M.Phil scholars shall present at least one (1) research paper in a conference/seminar and Ph.D. scholars must publish at least one (1) research paper in refereed journal and make two paper presentations in conferences/seminars before the submission of the dissertation/thesis for adjudication, and produce evidence for the same in the form of presentation</p>	<p>10. Topic of Dissertation: The topic of dissertation shall be approved by the Centre/Department on a proposal submitted by the student through his/her Adviser.</p> <p>Provided that the M.Phil. scholar shall be required to undertake research work and produce a draft dissertation within a period of nine months after successful completion of course work.</p> <p>15.3 Prior to the submission of the dissertation, the scholar shall make a presentation in the Department before the Research Advisory Committee of the University which shall also be open to all faculty members and other research scholars. The feedback and comments obtained from them may be suitably incorporated into the draft dissertation in consultation with the Research Advisory Committee.</p> <p>11.6 M.Phil scholars shall present at least one (1) research paper in a conference/seminar before the submission of the dissertation for adjudication, and produce evidence for the same in the form of presentation certificates and/or reprints.</p> <p>11.2 The dissertation shall be examined by two examiners at</p>

placed before the Committee for Advanced Studies & Research (CASR) of the School, the evaluation reports of both the examiners for its consideration.

Provided further that after due consideration of the reports, the Committee may recommend the appointment of a third examiner (not connected with the University) in the manner as laid down in Clause 11.2 above for the evaluation of the dissertation.

Provided further that if the recommendation of the third examiner is in the negative the student concerned shall not be awarded the degree of M.Phil.

certificates and/or reprints.

9.5 The Academic Council (or its equivalent body) of the Institution shall evolve a mechanism using well developed software and gadgets to detect plagiarism and other forms of academic dishonesty. While submitting for evaluation, the dissertation/thesis shall have an undertaking from the research scholar and a certificate from the Research Supervisor attesting to the originality of the work, vouching that there is no plagiarism and that the work has not been submitted for the award of any other degree/diploma of the same Institution where the work was carried out, or to any other Institution.

9.6 The M.Phil. dissertation submitted by a research scholar shall be evaluated by his/her Research Supervisor and at least one external examiner who is not in the employment of the Institution/College. The viva-voce examination, based among other things, on the critiques given in the evaluation report, shall be conducted by both of them together, and shall be open to be attended by Members of the Research Advisory Committee, all faculty members of the Department, other research scholars and other interested experts/researchers.

least one of whom shall not be a member of the staff of the University/ Committee of the Centre/Board of the School concerned **and one shall be his/her Research Supervisor.** Such an examiner shall be appointed by the Executive Council of the University on the recommendations of the Academic Council, the Board and the Committee for Advanced Studies and Research of the School concerned.

Provided that the viva-voce examination, based among other things, on the critiques given in the evaluation report, shall be conducted by both of them together, and shall be open to be attended by Members of the Research Advisory Committee, all faculty members of the Department, other research scholars and other interested experts/researchers.

Provided further that in case an examiner.....

11.7 While submitting for evaluation, the dissertation shall have an undertaking from the research scholar and a certificate from the Research Supervisor attesting to the originality of the work, vouching that there is no plagiarism and that the work has not been submitted for the award of any other degree/diploma of the same Institution where the work was carried out, or to any other Institution.

Items to be discussed for inclusion

Existing Ordinance	UGC Regulation 2016	New Ordinance Clauses
Not Available	6.5 A Research Supervisor/Co-supervisor who is a Professor, at any given point of time, cannot guide more than three (3) M.Phil. and Eight (8) Ph.D. scholars. An Associate Professor as Research Supervisor can guide up to a maximum of two (2) M.Phil. and six (6) Ph.D. scholars and an Assistant Professor as Research Supervisor can guide up to a maximum of one (1) M.Phil. and four (4) Ph.D. scholars	
Not Available	13.1 Following the successful completion of the evaluation process and before the announcement of the award of the M.Phil. degree(s), the Institution concerned shall submit an electronic copy of the M.Phil. dissertation to the INFLIBNET, for hosting the same so as to make it accessible to all Institutions/Colleges. 13.2 Prior to the actual award of the degree, the degree-awarding Institution shall issue a provisional Certificate to the effect that the Degree has been awarded in accordance with the provisions of these UGC Regulations, 2016.	

Note:

1. Other clauses of the M.Phil. Ordinance No. 14 shall remain unchanged.

Amendments in Ph.D. Ordinance No. 13

Existing JNU Ordinance (Clauses)	UGC Regulation 2016 (Clauses)	Proposed JNU Ordinance (Clauses)
<p>2. A Candidate shall be eligible for admission to a course of research leading to the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy(Ph.D) if he/she had taken the Master's degree of the University or a degree recognized by the University for this purpose as its equivalent. However, candidates seeking admission direct to the Ph.D Programme will be governed by Clause 6(iv) of the Ordinance.</p> <p>6.1 The candidate shall be considered for confirmation by the Committee for Advanced Studies and Research on the basis of the following :</p> <p>(i) Completion of M.Phil./M.Tech./MPH programme of the University with a minimum CGPA of 6.00 including grade of dissertation/field work and courses (5.5 in case of SC/ST and disabled students) Provided that confirmation in Ph.D. programme of a student who has completed the course requirement of the M.Phil./M.Tech./MPH programme and the result of whose M.Phil./M.Tech./MPH dissertation/field work is awaited may be considered provisional and made final only after the result is known and he/she has secured the required CGPA/FGPA.</p> <p>(ii) Completion of all the prescribed M.Phil./M.Tech./MPH course work as per the respective Ordinances with a minimum CGPA of 6.5.</p> <p>9 (a) The name of the candidate shall stand automatically removed from the rolls of the University if he/she;</p> <p>(i) fails in any course prescribed for pre-Ph..D/Ph.D programme.</p> <p>(ii) fails to secure a CGPA of 6.5 in the course work for pre-Ph.D programme (6.00 in case of SC/ST students) or 6.5 in the</p>	<p>3.1 Master's Degree holders satisfying the criteria stipulated under Clause 2 above.</p> <p>3.2 Candidates who have cleared the M.Phil. course work with at least 55% marks in aggregate or its equivalent grade 'B' in the UGC 7-point scale (or an equivalent grade in a point scale wherever grading system is followed) and successfully completing the M.Phil. Degree shall be eligible to proceed to do research work leading to the Ph. D. Degree in the same Institution in an integrated programme. A relaxation of 5% of marks, from 55% to 50%, or an equivalent relaxation of grade, may be allowed for those belonging to SC/ST/OBC(non-creamy layer)/differently-abled and other categories of candidates as per the decision of the Commission from time to time.</p> <p>3.3 A person whose M.Phil. dissertation has been evaluated and the viva voce is pending may be admitted to the Ph.D. programme of the same Institution;</p>	<p>2. A Candidate shall be eligible for admission to a course of research leading to the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D) if he/she had taken the Master's degree with at least 55% marks in aggregate or its equivalent grade 'B' in the UGC 7-point scale of the University or a degree recognized by the University for this purpose as its equivalent.</p> <p>Provided further that a relaxation of 5% of marks, from 55% to 50%, or an equivalent relaxation of grade, may be allowed for those belonging to SC/ST/OBC (non-creamy layer)/Differently-abled category, or for those who had obtained their Master's degree prior to 19th September, 1991. The relaxation of 5% is based only on the qualifying marks without including the grace mark procedures.</p> <p>Provided further that candidates who have cleared the M.Phil. course work with at least 55% marks in aggregate or its equivalent grade 'B' in the UGC 7-point scale (or an equivalent grade in a point scale wherever grading system is followed) and successfully completing the M.Phil. Degree shall be eligible to proceed to do research work leading to the Ph. D. Degree in the same Institution in an integrated programme. A relaxation of 5% of marks, from 55% to 50%, or an equivalent relaxation of grade, may be allowed for those belonging to</p>

<p>course work of Ph.D Programme. “(iii) fails to secure...”</p>		<p>SC/ST/OBC (non-creamy layer)/differently-abled categories of candidates. However, candidates seeking admission direct to the Ph.D Programme will be governed by Clause 6(iv) of the Ordinance.</p> <p>6.1 The candidate shall be considered for confirmation by the Committee for Advanced Studies and Research on the basis of the following :</p> <p>(i) Completion of M.Phil./M.Tech./MPH programme of the University with a minimum CGPA of 6.00 including grade of dissertation/field work and courses (5.5 in case of SC/ST/OBC (non-creamy layer)/differently-abled).</p> <p>Provided that confirmation in Ph.D. programme of a student who has completed the course requirement of the M.Phil./M.Tech./MPH programme and whose M.Phil./M.Tech./MPH dissertation/field work has been evaluated and the result of viva voce is pending may be considered provisional and made final only after the result is known and he/she has secured the required CGPA/FGPA.</p> <p>(ii) Completion of all the prescribed M.Phil./M.Tech./MPH course work with at least 55% marks in aggregate or its equivalent grade 'B' in the UGC 7-point scale (50% or an equivalent grade to SC/ST/OBC(non-creamy layer)/differently-abled).</p> <p>(iii).....</p> <p>(iv) In exceptional cases directly to the Ph.D programme on the basis of their previous research experience in a recognized</p>
---	--	---

<p>8.1 No candidate shall be permitted to submit his/her thesis for the Ph.D. degree, unless he/she has pursued the said programme of research at the University for not less than two years after confirmation of his/her admission.</p>	<p>4.2 Ph.D. programme shall be for a minimum duration of three years, including course work and a maximum of six years. 4.4 The women candidates and Persons with Disability (more than 40% disability) may be</p>	<p>8.1 No candidate shall be permitted to submit his/her thesis for the Ph.D. degree, unless he/she has pursued the said programme of research at the University for not less than two years after</p>
<p>University/Research Institution or research publication (s) comparable to M.Phil./M.Tech/MPH standard. Where, however, course work is prescribed by the Centre/School for such category of students, as pre-requisite for confirmation, they would be required to secure a minimum 55% marks/CGPA of 5.0 (50% marks or 4.5 CGPA to SC/ST/OBC(non-creamy layer)/differently-abled) in the course/courses to be eligible for confirmation and continuation in the programme. Every such pre-requisite course, shall carry such credit as may be approved by the Board of Studies of the School/Special Committee of the School//Special Centre on the recommendation of the Centre/Department. "In case of"</p>		
<p>9 (a) The name of the candidate shall stand automatically removed from the rolls of the University if he/she; (i) fails in any course prescribed for pre-Ph.D/Ph.D programme. (ii) fails to secure a CGPA of 5.0 (4.5 CGPA to SC/ST/OBC(non-creamy layer)/differently-abled) in the course work for pre-Ph.D programme/Ph.D Programme. "(iii) fails to secure....."</p>		

Provided that the residency period for the M.Phil./Ph.D. students whose admission to the Ph.D. programme has been confirmed from the date of award of M.Phil., the residency period of two years shall be counted from the date of submission of M.Phil. dissertation.

Provided further that in case of M.Phil./Ph.D. students whose admission to Ph.D. programme has been confirmed after the completion of course work with requisite CGPA the residency period shall be counted from the date of confirmation of admission to the Ph.D. programme by the CASR/Special Committee of the Schools/Special Centres.

Provided further that in the case of students directly admitted to the Ph.D. programme the residency period of two years shall be counted from the date of successful completion of course work in case course work has been prescribed and in other cases, the residency period shall be counted from the date of their admission to the Ph.D. programme.

Provided further that

8.2 A student shall be permitted to de-register from the Ph.D. programme only after completion of two years residency period as prescribed in Clause 8.1

*9 (a) The name of the candidate shall stand automatically removed from the rolls of the University if he/she;

(i) fails in any course prescribed for pre-Ph.D./Ph.D programme.

(ii) fails to secure a CGPA of 6.5 in the course work for pre-Ph.D programme (6.00 in case of SC/ST students) or 6.5 in the course work of Ph.D Programme.

(iii) fails to secure the requisite CGPA/FGPA in M.Phil/M.Tech/MPH, if he/she was provisionally admitted to Ph.D programme pending the

allowed a relaxation of one year for M.Phil and two years for Ph.D. in the maximum duration. In addition, the women candidates may be provided Maternity Leave/Child Care Leave once in the entire duration of M.Phil/Ph.D. for up to 240 days. for up to 240 days.

confirmation of his/her admission or three years from the date of submission of M.Phil. dissertation for the M.Phil./Ph.D. students whose admission to the Ph.D. programme has been confirmed from the date of award of M.Phil.

Provided further that in case of M.Phil./Ph.D. students whose admission to Ph.D. programme has been

8.2 A student shall be permitted to de-register from the Ph.D. programme only after completion of residency period as prescribed in Clause 8.1

9(a) The name of the candidate shall stand automatically removed from the rolls of the University if he/she;

(i)

(ii)

(iii)

(iv) fails to submit his/her thesis within Eight years of the date of his/her initial admission to the M.Phil/M.Tech./MPH Programme, or five years from the date of his/her confirmation to the Ph.D Programme, whichever is earlier.

Provided, however, that in respect of candidates who had discontinued after obtaining their M.Phil and who are re-admitted to the programme by the Centre/School, the period for which such candidates had discontinued shall not be counted while calculating the period of eight years as above.

<p>of his/her M.Tech/MPH to submit his/her thesis six years of the date of initial admission to the M.Tech./MPH/Ph.D programme, or four years from the date of his/her confirmation to the Ph.D Programme, whichever is longer, however, that in respect of candidates who had continued after obtaining their degree and who are re-admitted to the programme by the School, the period for such candidates had continued shall not be counted towards calculating the period of six years above.</p>		<p>At the end of Clause 9(a)(iv) of the Ph.D. Ordinance, Add: (Approved by the AC in its meeting held on 07.10.2016 and its adjourned meeting held on 20.10.2016 and EC in its 266TH meeting held on 22/11/2016)</p> <p>“Provided that women students and persons with disability (more than 40 per cent disability) may be allowed relaxation of two semesters in the maximum duration of the course after completion of the course work. In addition, the women students would be eligible for maternity leave/child care leave once for up to 240 days in the entire duration of the course.”</p>
<p>shall be considered Department/Centre which shall then forward the application along with its opinion to the Committee for Advanced Studies and Research to recommend the name of an Adviser or Supervisor(s) at the appropriate time.</p> <p>If the Committee is so satisfied, it may subject to confirmation by the Board of the School, admit the candidate provisionally to the award of the Ph.D degree, and at the appropriate time, appoint, on the recommendation of the Department/Centre concerned, a teacher of the University as an Adviser or Supervisor (s) to guide and supervise the work of the candidate.</p> <p>Provided that the Committee for Advanced Studies and Research may, on the recommendation of the</p>	<p>6.1 Any regular Professor of the University/Institution Deemed to be a University/College with at least five research publications in refereed journals and any regular Associate/Assistant Professor of the university/institution deemed to be a university/college with a Ph.D. degree and at least two research publications in refereed journals may be recognized as Research Supervisor. Provided that in areas/disciplines where there is no or only a limited number of refereed journals, the Institution may relax the above condition for recognition of a person as Research Supervisor with reasons recorded in writing.</p> <p>6.2 Only a full time regular teacher of the concerned University/Institution Deemed to be a University/College can act as a supervisor. The external supervisors are not allowed. However, CoSupervisor can be allowed in inter-disciplinary areas</p>	<p>4. The application shall be considered by the Department/Centre concerned which shall then forward the application along with its opinion to the Committee for Advanced Studies and Research and recommend the name of an Adviser or Supervisor(s) at the time of interview/viva voce.</p> <p>5(b) If the Committee is so satisfied, it may subject to confirmation by the Board of the School, admit the candidate provisionally to the course leading to the award of the Ph.D degree, and at the time of interview/viva voce, appoint, on the recommendation of the Department/Centre concerned, a teacher of the University as an Adviser or</p>

Department/Centre concerned, appoint Joint Supervisors in any particular case.

Provided further that in case a Supervisor, under whose guidance a thesis has been prepared in part or in full, ceases to be a teacher of the University he/she may subject to his/her availability and recommendation of the Department/Centre be continued by the Committee for Advanced Studies and Research as Joint Supervisor of the student concerned.

from other departments of the same institute or from other related institutions with the approval of the Research Advisory Committee.

6.3 The allocation of Research Supervisor for a selected research scholar shall be decided by the Department concerned depending on the number of scholars per Research Supervisor, the available specialization among the Supervisors and research interests of the scholars as indicated by them at the time of interview/viva voce.

6.4 In case of topics which are of inter-disciplinary nature where the Department concerned feels that the expertise in the Department has to be supplemented from outside, the Department may appoint a Research Supervisor from the Department itself, who shall be known as the Research Supervisor, and a Co-Supervisor from outside the Department/Faculty/College/Institution on such terms and conditions as may be specified and agreed upon by the consenting Institutions/Colleges.

6.6 In case of relocation of an M.Phil/Ph.D. woman scholar due to marriage or otherwise, the research data shall be allowed to be transferred to the University to which the scholar intends to relocate provided all the other conditions in these regulations are followed in letter and spirit and the research work does not pertain to the project secured by the parent institution/ supervisor from any funding agency. The scholar will however give due credit to the parent guide and the institution for the part of research already done.

Supervisor (s) to guide and supervise the work of the candidate.

Provided that a full time regular Professor of the University with at least five research publications in refereed journals and a full time regular Associate/Assistant Professor of the University with a Ph.D. degree and at least two research publications in refereed journals may be recognized as Research Supervisor. Provided that in areas/disciplines where there is no or only a limited number of refereed journals, the Institution may relax the above condition.

Provided that the Committee for Advanced Studies and Research may, on the recommendation of the Department/Centre concerned with the approval of the Research Advisory Committee, appoint Joint Supervisors in any particular case.

Provided further that in case of topics which are of inter-disciplinary nature, the Department may appoint a Research Supervisor from the Department itself, who shall be known as the Research Supervisor, and a Co-Supervisor/Joint Supervisor from outside the Department/Institution.

Provided further that in case a Supervisor, under whose guidance a thesis has been prepared in part or in full, ceases to be a teacher of the University he/she may subject to his/her availability and recommendation of the Department/Centre be

<p>mentioned above in details.</p>		<p>continued by the Committee for Advanced Studies and Research as Joint Supervisor of the student concerned.</p> <p>8.4 In case of relocation of an Ph.D. woman scholar due to marriage or otherwise, the research data shall be allowed to be transferred to the University to which the scholar intends to relocate provided all the other conditions in these regulations are followed in letter and spirit and the research work does not pertain to the project secured by the University/ supervisor from any funding agency. The scholar will however give due credit to the parent guide and the institution for the part of research already done.</p>
<p>admitted to the Ph.D. programme under 6.1 (iii) and 6.1 (iv) shall be required to clear the course work within the first two consecutive semesters. In any case, an end semester examination as prescribed, a student shall participate in the course only if they have participated in the course work and appeared in the examination and in no case shall a student be allowed to repeat a course either because of failure or for poor performance.</p> <p>A student having registered in the course shall be registered in the course with the consent of the Department concerned be allowed to drop or substitute a course within a period of three months from the commencement of the course.</p> <p>If a student admitted to the Ph.D. programme under 6.1 (iv) of Clause 6.1 above is removed from the roll of the University at the discretion of the Committee for Advanced Studies and Research if the</p>	<p>7.1 The credit assigned to the M.Phil. or Ph.D. course work shall be a minimum of 08 credits and a maximum of 16 credits.</p> <p>7.2 The course work shall be treated as prerequisite for M.Phil./Ph.D. preparation. A minimum of four credits shall be assigned to one or more courses on Research Methodology which could cover areas such as quantitative methods, computer applications, research ethics and review of published research in the relevant field, training, field work, etc. Other courses shall be advanced level courses preparing the students for M.Phil./Ph.D. degree.</p> <p>7.3 All courses prescribed for M.Phil. and Ph.D. course work shall be in conformity with the credit hour instructional requirement and shall specify content, instructional and assessment methods. They shall be duly approved by the authorized academic bodies.</p> <p>7.4 The Department where the scholar pursues his/her research shall prescribe the course(s) to him/her based on the recommendations of the Research Advisory Committee.</p>	<p>6.1 The candidate shall be considered for confirmation by the Committee for Advanced Studies and Research on the basis of the following :</p> <p>(i).....</p> <p>(ii).....</p> <p>(iii) Completion of the courses of Pre-Ph.D programme/Ph.D programme of the University with a minimum CGPA of 5.0 (4.5 in case of SC/ST/OBC(non-creamy layer)/differently-abled). Provided that the course work shall be treated as prerequisite for Pre-Ph.D/Ph.D. programme. Pre-Ph.D/Ph.D. course work shall be a minimum of 08 credits and a maximum of 16 credits. A minimum of four credits shall be assigned to one or more courses on Research Methodology which could cover areas such as quantitative methods, computer applications, research ethics and review of published research in the relevant field, training, field work, etc.</p>

<p>(i) fails to clear at least 50% of the course work of the programme in the first semester; or</p> <p>(ii) fail to secure SGPA of 5.5 in the first semester course (5.00 in the case of SC/ST/PH)</p> <p>(iii) lacks interest and motivation for research after completion of course work</p>	<p>7.6 Candidates already holding M. Phil. degree and admitted to the Ph.D. programme, or those who have already completed the course work in M.Phil. and have been permitted to proceed to the Ph.D. in integrated course, may be exempted by the Department from the Ph.D. course work. All other candidates admitted to the Ph.D. programme shall be required to complete the Ph.D. course work prescribed by the Department.</p> <p>7.7 Grades in the course work, including research methodology courses shall be finalized after a combined assessment by the Research Advisory Committee and the Department and the final grades shall be communicated to the Institution/College.</p> <p>7.8 A M.Phil./Ph.D. scholar has to obtain a minimum of 55% of marks or its equivalent grade in the UGC 7-point scale (or an equivalent grade/CGPA in a point scale wherever grading system is followed) in the course work in order to be eligible to continue in the programme and submit the dissertation/thesis.</p>	<p>"Provided further....."</p> <p>(iv).....</p> <p>6.2 A student admitted to the Ph.D. Programme under 6.1 (iii) and 6.1 (iv) above shall be required to clear the course work within the first two consecutive semesters. In any course where an end semester examination is prescribed, a student clears the course only if he/she has participated in the sessional work and appeared in the end semester examination and secured a minimum of 55% of marks or its equivalent grade in the UGC 7-point scale (or an equivalent grade/CGPA in a point scale wherever grading system is followed) in the course work.</p> <p>Provided that the Department shall prescribe the course(s) to him/her based on the recommendations of the Research Advisory Committee.</p> <p>Provided further that Grades in the course work, including research methodology courses shall be finalized after a combined assessment by the Research Advisory Committee and the Department and the final grades shall be communicated to the University.</p> <p>Provided further that Candidates already holding M. Phil. degree and admitted to the Ph.D. programme, or those who have already completed the course work in M.Phil. and have been permitted to proceed to the Ph.D. in integrated course, may be exempted by the Department from the Ph.D. course work. All other candidates</p>
---	--	---

		<p>admitted to the Ph.D. programme shall be required to complete the Ph.D. course work prescribed by the Department.</p> <p>In no case shall a student be allowed to repeat a course either in the event of failure or for improving the performance. However, after having registered in a semester, a student with the permission of the Centre/Department concerned be allowed to add, drop or substitute course(s) within a period of three weeks from the commencement of the semester.</p> <p>6.4 The name of a student admitted to pre- Ph.D/Ph.D. programme under (iii) and (iv) of Clause 6.1 above may be removed from the roll of the University at the discretion of the Committee for Advanced Studies and Research if the student;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) fails to clear at least 50% of the course work of the programme in the first semester; or (ii) fail to secure CGPA of 5.0 in the course work (4.5 in the case of SC/ST/ OBC(non-creamy layer)/differently-abled) in order to be eligible to continue in the programme and submit the thesis. (iii) lacks interest and motivation for research after completion of course work
	<p>8.1 There shall be a Research Advisory Committee, or an equivalent body for similar purpose as defined in the Statutes/Ordinances of the Institution concerned, for each</p>	<p>26. Research Advisory Committee</p> <p>26.1. There shall be a Research Advisory Committee for each M.Phil. and Ph.D.</p>

<p>Not Available</p>	<p>M.Phil. and Ph.D. scholar. The Research Supervisor of the scholar shall be the Convener of this Committee. This Committee shall have the following responsibilities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">8.1.1 To review the research proposal and finalize the topic of research;8.1.2 To guide the research scholar to develop the study design and methodology of research and identify the course(s) that he/she may have to do.8.1.3 To periodically review and assist in the progress of the research work of the research scholar. <p>8.2 A research scholar shall appear before the Research Advisory Committee once in six months to make a presentation of the progress of his/her work for evaluation and further guidance. The six monthly progress reports shall be submitted by the Research Advisory Committee to the Institution/College with a copy to the research scholar.</p> <p>8.3 In case the progress of the research scholar is unsatisfactory, the Research Advisory Committee shall record the reasons for the same and suggest corrective measures. If the research scholar fails to implement these corrective measures, the Research Advisory Committee may recommend to the Institution/College with specific reasons for cancellation of the registration of the research scholar.</p>	<p>scholar. The Research Supervisor of the scholar shall be the Convener of this Committee. This Committee shall have the following responsibilities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">26.1.1 To review the research proposal and finalize the topic of research;26.1.2 To guide the research scholar to develop the study design and methodology of research and identify the course(s) that he/she may have to do.26.1.3 To periodically review and assist in the progress of the research work of the research scholar. <p>26.2 A research scholar shall appear before the Research Advisory Committee once in six months to make a presentation of the progress of his/her work for evaluation and further guidance. The six monthly progress reports shall be submitted by the Research Advisory Committee to the University with a copy to the research scholar.</p> <p>26.4 In case the progress of the research scholar is unsatisfactory, the Research Advisory Committee shall record the reasons for the same and suggest corrective measures. If the research scholar fails to implement these corrective measures, the Research Advisory Committee may recommend to the University with specific reasons for cancellation of the registration of the research scholar.</p>
----------------------	---	--

13 The thesis submitted by the candidates for the award of Ph.D degree shall be examined by two examiners appointed by the Academic Council and the Executive Council on the recommendations of the Committee for Advanced Studies and Research and the Board of the School, from amongst those who are not on the staff of the University and/or on the Committee of the Centre/Board of the School concerned.

"Provided further that in case an examiner....."

16. The viva-voce examination of candidate shall be conducted by a Board of examiners consisting of one of the external examiners of the thesis and the Supervisor(s). Provided, where neither of the examiners, who evaluated the thesis, is in a position to conduct the viva-voce examination, another examiner shall be appointed in his/her place.

Provided that where the Supervisor is unable to be present within a reasonable time to participate in the viva-voce examination, the Committee for Advanced Studies and Research may recommend another member of the faculty in his/her place.

9.3 Prior to the submission of the dissertation/thesis, the scholar shall make a presentation in the Department before the Research Advisory Committee of the Institution concerned which shall also be open to all faculty members and other research scholars. The feedback and comments obtained from them may be suitably incorporated into the draft dissertation/thesis in consultation with the Research Advisory Committee.

9.4 M.Phil scholars shall present at least one (1) research paper in a conference/seminar and Ph.D. scholars must publish at least one (1) research paper in refereed journal and make two paper presentations in conferences/seminars before the submission of the dissertation/thesis for adjudication, and produce evidence for the same in the form of presentation certificates and/or reprints.

9.5 The Academic Council (or its equivalent body) of the Institution shall evolve a mechanism using well developed software and gadgets to detect plagiarism and other forms of academic dishonesty. While submitting for evaluation, the dissertation/thesis shall have an undertaking from the research scholar and a certificate from the Research Supervisor attesting to the originality of the work, vouching that there is no plagiarism and that the work has not been submitted for the award of any other degree/diploma of the same Institution where the work was carried out, or to any other Institution.

9.7 The Ph.D. thesis submitted by a research scholar shall be evaluated by his/her Research Supervisor and at least two external examiners, who are not in employment of the Institution/College, of whom one examiner may be from outside the country. The viva-voce examination, based among other things, on the critiques given in the evaluation report, shall be

26. Research Advisory Committee

26.3 Prior to the submission of the thesis, the scholar shall make a presentation in the Department before the Research Advisory Committee of the Institution concerned which shall also be open to all faculty members and other research scholars. The feedback and comments obtained from them may be suitably incorporated into the draft thesis in consultation with the Research Advisory Committee.

12 A candidate shall submit his/her thesis for the Ph.D. degree in the manner prescribed by Regulations. Any original paper(s) pertaining to the area of specialization published by the candidate during the course of work leading to the Ph.D. degree and/or the dissertation submitted by him/her for the Master of Philosophy degree may be submitted as subsidiary or supporting material in favour of his/her candidature for the award of the Ph.D degree.

Provided that Ph.D. scholars must publish at least one (1) research paper in refereed journal and make two paper presentations in conferences/seminars before the submission of the thesis for adjudication, and produce evidence for the same in the form of presentation certificates and/or reprints.

8.3 While submitting for evaluation, the thesis shall have an undertaking from the research scholar and a

conducted by the Research Supervisor and at least one of the two external examiners, and shall be open to be attended by Members of the Research Advisory Committee, all faculty members of the Department, other research scholars and other interested experts/researchers.

certificate from the Research Supervisor attesting to the originality of the work, vouching that there is no plagiarism and that the work has not been submitted for the award of any other degree/diploma of the same Institution where the work was carried out, or to any other Institution.

- 13 The thesis submitted by the candidates for the award of Ph.D degree shall be examined by his/her Research Supervisor and at least two external examiners of whom one examiner may be from outside the country and appointed by the Academic Council and the Executive Council on the recommendations of the Committee for Advanced Studies and Research and the Board of the School, from amongst those who are not on the staff of the University and/or on the Committee of the Centre/Board of the School concerned.

Provided further that in

- 16 The viva-voce examination of candidate based among other things, on the critiques given in the evaluation report, shall be conducted by the Research Supervisor and at least one of the two external examiners, and shall be open to be attended by Members of the Research Advisory Committee, all faculty members of the Department, other research scholars and other interested experts/researchers. Provided, where neither of the examiners, who evaluated the thesis, is in a position to conduct the

		viva-voce examination, another examiner shall be appointed in his/her place. "Provided that where the Supervisor is ..."
--	--	---

Items to be discussed for inclusion

Existing Ordinance	UGC Regulation 2016	New Ordinance Clauses
Not Available	6.5 A Research Supervisor/Co-supervisor who is a Professor, at any given point of time, cannot guide more than three (3) M.Phil. and Eight (8) Ph.D. scholars. An Associate Professor as Research Supervisor can guide up to a maximum of two (2) M.Phil. and six (6) Ph.D. scholars and an Assistant Professor as Research Supervisor can guide up to a maximum of one (1) M.Phil. and four (4) Ph.D. scholars	
Not Available	13.1 Following the successful completion of the evaluation process and before the announcement of the award of the Ph.D. degree(s), the Institution concerned shall submit an electronic copy of the Ph. D. thesis to the INFLIBNET, for hosting the same so as to make it accessible to all Institutions/Colleges. 13.2 Prior to the actual award of the degree, the degree-awarding Institution shall issue a provisional Certificate to the effect that the Degree has been awarded in accordance with the provisions of these UGC Regulations, 2016.	

Note:

2. Other clauses of the Ph.D. Ordinance No. 13 shall remain unchanged.