



26 AUG  
2017

[ADD A COMMENT](#)

## **Resolution 1. The Vexed Issue of the Counting of Past Service of JNU Teachers.**

The GBM disapproved the Vice Chancellor's decision to seek views of UGC on counting past services of a large number of faculty members whose promotion has been pending for many years. The counting of past services of JNU teachers should be dealt with in accordance with the JNU regulations. Latest EC decisions in this respect cannot be implemented retrospectively on faculty members who have been eligible for promotion since before the date of the EC decision. Cases of promotion that have already been approved cannot be reviewed again.

The GBM took the decision of constituting a three member committee comprising Prof. Ravi Srivastava, Prof. Sonajharia Minz and Prof. Surajit Mazumdar to study the issue carefully and help formulate JNUTA's response. JNUTA will do everything possible to pressurise the JNU administration to impress upon the VC the significance of the JNU Act in this context. The GBM condemned the Vice Chancellor for ignoring JNUTA's repeated requests for a meeting and resolved that the JNUTA EC

should continue to demand to meet the VC to apprise him about the injustice that his administration is doing to a large number of teachers.

## **Resolution 2. An Unprecedented Assault on the Autonomy of GSCASH.**

The institution of GSCASH is facing an unprecedented assault by the JNU Administration under the leadership of the VC. The latest attack comes under the garb of creating, in place of the GSCASH, Internal Complaints Committee (ICC) as required by the Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013. JNU Administration has formed a committee, comprising an overwhelming majority of male members and with no members except one with any association with GSCASH, to formulate modalities for constitution of ICC.

JNUTA GBM strongly opposed these attacks on the GSCASH and resolved to do everything to protect the democratic character of GSCASH. The GBM also noted that JNU is a workplace only for teachers and karamcharis, and not for either students or for resident family members of employees of JNU. Given this, an ICC under the Workplace Act is not sufficient to deal with the problems of sexual harassment faced by persons belonging to different sections of the JNU community.

In view of this grave threat to survival of GSCASH, the GBM resolved to form a committee comprising Meenakshi Sundriyal, Chirashree Das Gupta and Avinash Kumar, all three former office-bearers of GSCASH, and Ghazala Jamil, member of JNUTA EC. The committee will look into the rules and regulation for constitution of ICC, and how to protect the character of

GSCASH in the present context. The report of this committee will form the basis for further action of JNUTA in this regard.

### **Resolution 3. Problems in Allotment of Housing to JNU teachers and Manipulation of Rules by the JNU Administration.**

In the context of housing for JNU teachers, it was resolved to demand that complete automation of the process of house allotment should be done expeditiously. Members hoped that automation of housing allotment will help prevent manipulation of the process by JNU Administration to favour the chosen few.

The GBM condemned the recent attempt to deny housing to colleagues who were at the top of the priority list and arbitrarily allot those houses to persons close to JNU administration. The members present emphasized that transparency in the process of a house allotment is need of the hour.

### **Resolution 4. The humiliating treatment meted out to Hostel Wardens by the JNU VC.**

The hostel wardens of the University were aggrieved at what could only be termed as institutional humiliation heaped on them by the JNU VC. The Vice Chancellor has been calling the wardens and insulting them.

By not renewing terms of young, many Assistant Professors among them, colleagues, the JNU Administration has put an enormous financial burden on teachers who have had to look for housing outside the campus.

Teachers who have children or old parents are finding it extremely

difficult to make do with tiny transit houses or to be able to afford housing outside JNU.

Without recognizing the efforts of warden colleagues in running the hostels, the VC has been by making them feel as if they are interested only in having large houses. Another concern that was expressed by warden colleagues is the VC's blatant interference in controlling even the selection process of wardens. This shows the VC's penchant for the abrogation of powers of others, in this case Provost, which was condemned by the GBM.

The JNUTA GBM has resolved that JNUTA should continue to strive for a constructive dialogue with the VC, it will continue to publicize failures of the Administration headed by him, should it fail to satisfactorily remedy myriads of administrative afflictions from which this Administration suffers.

Submission of the GBM minutes will follow soon.

Advertisements

Occasionally, some of your visitors may see an advertisement here  
You can hide these ads completely by upgrading to one of our paid plans.

[UPGRADE NOW](#)

[DISMISS MESSAGE](#)

[Edit](#)

**SHARE THIS:**



**LIKE THIS:**

**PREVIOUS**

**JNUTA petitions the Parliamentary Committee for the Welfare of OBCs**

**NEXT**

**In tribute to Gauri Lankesh, speak out, criticise, change hearts and minds!**

**Leave a Reply**

You are following this blog

You are following this blog, along with 2,453 other amazing people ([manage](#)).



A WordPress.com Website.